Approved For Release 2002/08/28 : CIA-RDP92-00455R000300070020-4 OGC Has Reviewed

CGC 77-2485 18 April 1977

in The Co

SUBJECT: Legal Effect of House Appropriations Committee "Instructions" 1. The attached memorandum by considers the questions you posed concerning the legal effect or certain actions taken by the House Appropriations Committee, specifically the Committee's instructions, in the course of the recent reprogramming exercise, which apparently would terminate two named programs, and the Committee's various instructions concerning the organization and operation of the IC Staff. concludes that the Committee's actions do not establish legal requirements and that they are without legal effect. We intend also a second paper addressing the specifics of these two particular reprogramming or termination decisions. 2. As the Committee's instructions concerning the organization and operation of the IC Staff, including the requirement for a detailed plan for the establishment of a permanent IC cadre points out that the separation of powers doctrine in the Constitution precludes any legally effective instruction on the part of the Committee. Congress of course has a number of powers under the Constitution, primarily those of enacting legislation, investigating, declaring war, etc. But the "executive power shall be vested in a President of the United States of America." We find nothing in the Constitution or statutes which would require executive branch agencies to comply with instructions contained in conference reports or in other legislative pronouncements (other than laws) and directed to the executive OGC branch agencies. Further, it would appear that any such instructions 25X1 would amount to a Congressional usurpation of executive branch authority.	SUBJECT : Legal Effe	·
Associate General Counsel SUBJECT: Legal Effect of House Appropriations Committee "Instructions" 1. The attached memorandum byou posed concerning the legal effect or certain actions taken by the House Appropriations Committee, specifically the Committee's instructions, in the course of the recent reprogramming exercise, which apparently would terminate two named programs, and the Committee's various instructions concerning the organization and operation of the IC Staff. concludes that the Committee's actions do not establish legal requirements and that they are without legal effect. We intend also a second paper addressing the specifics of these two particular reprogramming or termination decisions. 2. As the Committee's instructions concerning the organization and operation of the IC Staff, including the requirement for a detailed plan for the establishment of a permanent IC cadre points out that the separation of powers doctrine in the Constitution precludes any legally effective instruction on the part of the Committee. Congress of course has a number of powers under the Constitution, primarily those of enacting legislation, investigating, declaring war, etc. But the "executive power shall be vested in a President of the United States of America." We find nothing in the Constitution or statutes which would require executive branch agencies to comply with instructions contained in conference reports or in other legislative pronouncements (other than laws) and directed to the executive OGC branch agencies. Further, it would appear that any such instructions.	Associate SUBJECT : Legal Effe	·
1. The attached memorandum by you posed concerning the legal effect or certain actions taken by the House Appropriations Committee, specifically the Committee's instructions, in the course of the recent reprogramming exercise, which apparently would terminate two named programs, and the Committee's various instructions concerning the organization and operation of the IC Staff. concludes that the Committee's actions do not establish legal requirements and that they are without legal effect. We intend also a second paper addressing the specifics of these two particular reprogramming or termination decisions. 2. As the Committee's instructions concerning the organization and operation of the IC Staff, including the requirement for a detailed plan for the establishment of a permanent IC cadre points out that the separation of powers doctrine in the Constitution precludes any legally effective instruction on the part of the Committee. Congress of course has a number of powers under the Constitution, primarily those of enacting legislation, investigating, declaring war, etc. But the "executive power shall be vested in a President of the United States of America." We find nothing in the Constitution or statutes which would require executive branch agencies to comply with instructions contained in conference reports or in other legislative pronouncements (other than laws) and directed to the executive OGC branch agencies. Further, it would appear that any such instructions	a magar tito	et of House Annualistics C
you posed concerning the legal effect or certain actions taken by the House Appropriations Committee, specifically the Committee's instructions, in the course of the recent reprogramming exercise, which apparently would terminate two named programs, and the Committee's various instructions concerning the organization and operation of the IC Staff. concludes that the Committee's actions do not establish legal requirements and that they are without legal effect. We intend also a second paper addressing the specifics of these two particular reprogramming or termination decisions. 2. As the Committee's instructions concerning the organization and operation of the IC Staff, including the requirement for a detailed plan for the establishment of a permanent IC cadre points out that the STATINT separation of powers doctrine in the Constitution precludes any legally effective instruction on the part of the Committee. Congress of course has a number of powers under the Constitution, primarily those of enacting legislation, investigating, declaring war, etc. But the executive power shall be vested in a President of the United States of America. We find nothing in the Constitution or statutes which would require executive branch agencies to comply with instructions contained in conference reports or in other legislative pronouncements (other than laws) and directed to the executive OGC branch agencies. Further, it would appear that any such instructions		ons"
you posed concerning the legal effect or certain actions taken by the House Appropriations Committee, specifically the Committee's instructions, in the course of the recent reprogramming exercise, which apparently would terminate two named programs, and the Committee's various instructions concerning the organization and operation of the IC Staff. concludes that the Committee's actions do not establish legal requirements and that they are without legal effect. We intend also a second paper addressing the specifics of these two particular reprogramming or termination decisions. 2. As the Committee's instructions concerning the organization and operation of the IC Staff, including the requirement for a detailed plan for the establishment of a permanent IC cadre points out that the STATINT separation of powers doctrine in the Constitution precludes any legally effective instruction on the part of the Committee. Congress of course has a number of powers under the Constitution, primarily those of enacting legislation, investigating, declaring war, etc. But the executive power shall be vested in a President of the United States of America. We find nothing in the Constitution or statutes which would require executive branch agencies to comply with instructions contained in conference reports or in other legislative pronouncements (other than laws) and directed to the executive OGC branch agencies. Further, it would appear that any such instructions		
Appropriations Committee, specifically the Committee's instructions, in the course of the recent reprogramming exercise, which apparently would terminate two named programs, and the Committee's various instructions concerning the organization and operation of the IC Staff. concludes that the Committee's actions do not establish legal requirements and that they are without legal effect. We intend also a second paper addressing the specifics of these two particular reprogramming or termination decisions. 2. As the Committee's instructions concerning the organization and operation of the IC Staff, including the requirement for a detailed plan for the establishment of a permanent IC cadre points out that the STATINT separation of powers doctrine in the Constitution precludes any legally effective instruction on the part of the Committee. Congress of course has a number of powers under the Constitution, primarily those of enacting legislation, investigating, declaring war, etc. But the executive power shall be vested in a President of the United States of America. We find nothing in the Constitution or statutes which would require executive branch agencies to comply with instructions contained in conference reports or in other legislative pronouncements (other than laws) and directed to the executive OGC branch agencies. Further, it would appear that any such instructions	you posed concerning the legal effec	to or certain actions taken by the House
concerning the organization and operation of the IC Staff. that the Committee's actions do not establish legal requirements and that they are without legal effect. We intend also a second paper addressing the specifics of these two particular reprogramming or termination decisions. 2. As the Committee's instructions concerning the organization and operation of the IC Staff, including the requirement for a detailed plan for the establishment of a permanent IC cadre points out that the STATINT separation of powers doctrine in the Constitution precludes any legally effective instruction on the part of the Committee. Congress of course has a number of powers under the Constitution, primarily those of enacting legislation, investigating, declaring war, etc. But the "executive power shall be vested in a President of the United States of America." We find nothing in the Constitution or statutes which would require executive branch agencies to comply with instructions contained in conference reports or in other legislative pronouncements (other than laws) and directed to the executive OGC branch agencies. Further, it would appear that any such instructions	the course of the recent reprogramm terminate two named programs, and	lly the Committee's instructions, in STATINI ing exercise, which apparently would the Committee's various instructions
2. As the Committee's instructions concerning the organization and operation of the IC Staff, including the requirement for a detailed plan for the establishment of a permanent IC cadre points out that the separation of powers doctrine in the Constitution precludes any legally effective instruction on the part of the Committee. Congress of course has a number of powers under the Constitution, primarily those of enacting legislation, investigating, declaring war, etc. But the "executive power shall be vested in a President of the United States of America." We find nothing in the Constitution or statutes which would require executive branch agencies to comply with instructions contained in conference reports or in other legislative pronouncements (other than laws) and directed to the executive OGC branch agencies. Further, it would appear that any such instructions	that the Committee's actions do not e	ration of the IC Staff. concludes
operation of the IC Staff, including the requirement for a detailed plan for the establishment of a permanent IC cadre points out that the STATINT separation of powers doctrine in the Constitution precludes any legally effective instruction on the part of the Committee. Congress of course has a number of powers under the Constitution, primarily those of enacting legislation, investigating, declaring war, etc. But the "executive power shall be vested in a President of the United States of America." We find nothing in the Constitution or statutes which would require executive branch agencies to comply with instructions contained in conference reports or in other legislative pronouncements (other than laws) and directed to the executive OGC branch agencies. Further, it would appear that any such instructions	of these two particular reprogrammi	ng or termination decisions.
	operation of the IC Staff, including to the establishment of a permanent IC separation of powers doctrine in the effective instruction on the part of the anumber of powers under the Constislation, investigating, declaring was be vested in a President of the Unite in the Constitution or statutes which to comply with instructions contained legislative pronouncements (other the branch agencies. Further, it would	cadre oints out that the STATINI Constitution precludes any legally se Committee. Congress of course has attution, primarily those of enacting legar, etc. But the "executive power shall distates of America." We find nothing would require executive branch agencies in conference reports or in other an laws) and directed to the executive OGC appear that any such instructions

Approved For Release 2002/0	8/28 : CIA-RDP	92-00455R000)300070020	J-4 	
;X1 000					STA:
OGC					STA
ogc ogc					STA
ogc					STA
. 000					STA
. 000		-			STA
. 000					STA
ttachment	*				STA
.ttachmen t OGC/RHL/bas	*				STA
ttachment OGC/RHL/bas Distribution: Opig - Addee w/att	*				STA
.ttachment OGC/RHL/bas Distribution:					STA

such officials ought to be able to rely upon them. There are, in considering Approved For Release 2002/08/28': CIA-RDP92-00455R000300070020-4 the question as to which prerogatives in regard to reprogramming actions are held by officials in the executive agencies and which have been reserved by the Congress, it is advisable to look first to the terms of the guidelines agreed upon.

- 8. Where there is no proposed reprogramming action, but rather a directive from the Congress not to expend funds as originally appropriated, the question would seem to be one of whether the original appropriation was effectively repealed. The Congress has the power to repeal an appropriation statute just like any other statute. A statute may be repealed expressly, or by implication, but in either case legislative action is required. A resolution, or a bill which never becomes a law, cannot repeal a statute. A fortiori, it would seem that comments by individual members of the legislature to the effect that moneys should not be expended as appropriated have no legal effect.
- 9. Under the United States Code there are provisions directed both at overobligation of appropriations and underobligation of appropriations. The former situation is dealt with at 31 USC 665, the Anti-Deficiency Act, which prohibits the obligation of funds in excess of available appropriations. The latter situation is dealt with at 31 USC 1401 et.seq., the Impoundment Control Act of 1974.
- 10. Section 1401 defines deferral of budget authority to include the withholding or delaying of obligation or expenditure of budget authority provided for projects or activities. Section 1403 provides that when any officer or employee of the United States proposes to defer any budget authority provided for a specific purpose or project, the President shall transmit to the House and the Senate a special message setting forth all the facts, circumstances and considerations relating to the proposed deferral. Section 1402 provides for a similar report whenever the President determines that any budget authority will not be required to carry out the full objectives of programs for which it is provided or that such budget authority should be rescinded for fiscal policy or other reasons. Under section 1403, the proposed deferral is ineffective if either House passes a resolution disapproving the deferral. Under 1402, the proposed recission is ineffective if the Congress does not complete action on a recission bill within 45 days. Section 1405 makes both sections 1407 and 1403

⁷ 8 8 9 id, §280.

Approved For Release 2002/08/28: CIA-RDP92-00455R000300070020-4 enforceable through civil action brought by the Comptroller General in district court.

- 11. Quite clearly, therefore, the decision not to expend funds as appropriated is a serious one. While the legislative history of the Impoundment Control Act indicates that it is aimed primarily at executive branch action, it must be noted that section 1403 addresses itself to "any officer or employee of the United States." In any event, any recission or deferral proposal requires action on the part of the executive branch for its implementation and would therefore appear to come within the Act. While presumably the Congress and executive can jointly agree not to expend certain funds without fulfilling all the procedural requirements of the Impoundment Control Act, unilateral termination of a program is not to be lightly regarded. In the situation where the Congress is suggesting that a program or project be terminated, it is suggested that some formal embodiment of the agreement to terminate be sought.
- 12. In the absence of agreement to terminate, it would seem as a matter of strict legalities that under existing law the Congress has the option to repeal the appropriation and the executive has the option to use the procedures of the Impoundment Control Act. However, inasmuch as both processes are cumbersome, the realities of interdependence weigh in favor of reaching an accommodation short of falling back to strict legalities. Nonetheless, as previously indicated, it would appear advisable to seek a clear and authoritative expression of Congressional intent that moneys not be expended as appropriated before terminating a project for which they were specifically appropriated.

	STATINT